

SCOTT N. SCHOOLS (SC 9990)
United States Attorney

BRIAN J. STRETCH (CABN 163973)
Chief, Criminal Division

MAUREEN C. BESSETTE (NYSBN 2468254)
Assistant United States Attorney

1301 Clay Street, Suite 340S
Oakland, CA, 94612
Telephone: (510) 637-3691
Telefax: (510) 637-3724
E-Mail: maureen.bessette@usdoj.gov

Attorneys for Plaintiff

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
OAKLAND DIVISION

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,)	CR No. 07-00710 WDB
)	
Plaintiff,)	
)	STIPULATION AND ORDER
v.)	CONTINUING STATUS DATE AND
)	EXCLUSION OF TIME
DARIENNE COLBERT,)	
)	
Defendant.)	
)	

IT IS HEREBY STIPULATED, by and between the parties to this action, that the status date in this case, currently set for Thursday, December 20, 2007, at 10:00 a.m. before Magistrate Wayne D. Brazil may be continued to Wednesday, January 9, 2008, at 10:00 a.m. for status or change of plea. A continuance to January 9, 2008, at 10:00 a.m. will allow defense counsel additional time to review the discovery with Ms. Colbert and complete any additional investigation prior to the next court hearing.

In addition, defense counsel will be unavailable between December 28, 2007, and January 4, 2008. The parties therefore stipulate that the time from December 20, 2007 to January 9, 2008, should be excluded in accordance with the provisions of the Speedy Trial Act, 18 U.S.C. §§

3161(h)(8)(A) and (B)(iv) for continuity of counsel and for adequate preparation of counsel.

DATED: 12/12/07

/S/
MAUREEN BESSETTE
Assistant United States Attorney

DATED: 12/18/07

/S/
JOHN PAUL REICHMUTH
Assistant Federal Public Defender

ORDER

GOOD CAUSE APPEARING, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that the status date in this case, currently scheduled for Thursday, December 20, 2007, at 10:00 a.m. shall be continued to Wednesday, January 9, 2007, at 10:00 a.m. for status or change of plea.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that the time from December 20, 2007, to January 9, 2008, should be excluded in accordance with the provisions of the Speedy Trial Act, 18 U.S.C. §§ 3161 (h)(8)(A) and (B)(iv) for adequate preparation of counsel and continuity of counsel. The Court finds that the ends of justice served by granting the continuance outweigh the best interests of the public and the defendant in a speedy and public trial and the failure to grant the requested continuance would unreasonably deny the defendant's counsel the reasonable time necessary for effective preparation, taking into account due diligence.

SO ORDERED.

DATED: December 19, 2007



HON. WAYNE D. BRAZIL
United States Magistrate Judge